

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,849	11/25/2003	Joel A. Kubby	D/A1063D	6941
7590 02/24/2005			EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			WOOD, KEVIN S	
ALEXANDRIA	- <del>-</del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2874	

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AL
----

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/721,849	KUBBY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kevin S. Wood	2874			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 No.	ovember 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 17-24</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,8-10,12 and 13</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,7,11 and 17-24</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
·					
Attachmont/c)					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.					
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:					
S Patent and Trademark Office	· ——				

Art Unit: 2874

#### NON-FINAL REJECTION

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 22 November 2004 has been entered.

## Response to Amendment

2. This action is responsive to the Amendment filed on 22 November 2004. Claims 1-13 have been amended and new claims 17-24 have been added. Claims 14-16 are cancelled. Claims 1-13 and 17-24 are pending in the application.

#### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6, 8-10, 12, and 13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2874

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1, 3, and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0159677 to Hsu et al.

Referring to claims 1, 3, and 8-10, the Hsu et al. reference discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention. The Hsu et al. reference discloses a micro-optical device having an aligned waveguide switch, including: a semiconductor substrate (517); a stationary input part (516) arranged on the semiconductor substrate, the stationary input part having a plurality of channel, and each channel being a input waveguide (512,513); a stationary output part arranged on the semiconductor substrate, the stationary output part (516) having a plurality of channels, and each channel being an output waveguide (514,515); a movable part (511) movably arranged on the semiconductor substrate, the movable part having a plurality of channels, and each channel being a switching waveguide (533-536), the moving part being movable relative to the stationary input and output part; and at least one stop block that limits movement of the movable part to align at least one of the switching waveguides with at least one of

Art Unit: 2874

the input waveguides and at least one of the output waveguides, wherein movement of the movable part is substantially transverse. See Fig. 11 along with its respective portions of the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 2, 4, 6, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0159677 to Hsu et al.

Art Unit: 2874

Referring to claims 2, 12, and 13, the Hsu et al. reference discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention, except the Hsu et al. reference does not appear to specifically disclose that the stationary input part, the stationary output part and the movable part comprise a single-crystal-silicon layer. Since the applicant has not disclosed that the how the single-crystal-silicon layer is essential to the invention, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a single-crystal-silicon to form the parts, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use. *In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.* 

Referring to claims 4 and 6, the Hsu et al. reference all the limitations of the claimed invention, except the Hsu et al. reference does not appear to specifically disclose that the stop blocks comprises a polysilicon. Since the applicant has not disclosed that the how the polysilicon is essential to the invention, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use polysilicon layers to form the stop blocks, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use. *In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.* 

# Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 5, 7, 11, and 17-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,849

Art Unit: 2874

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin S. Wood whose telephone number is (571) 272-2364. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (7am - 5:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney B. Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin S. Wood

AKM ENAYET ÜLLAH PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6